

Attach To Contract Document

New York City Department of Transportation
Division of Traffic

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

FOR

DESIGN AND ENGINEERING SERVICES IN CONNECTION WITH THE SCHOOL SAFETY ENGINEERING
PROJECT – SECOND GROUP, CITYWIDE

P.I.N. 84107MBTR164

Addendum # 1
August 22, 2008

Technical Proposal Due Date has been extended from 08/29/08 to 09/12/08

This Addendum Is Hereby Made Part of The Contract Documents

NOTE:

Attached please find:

1. Addendum No. 1
2. Revised Section V C, Basis of Contract Award (Page 10-R)
3. Revised Section VII A, (Page 3-R)
4. Revised Section VII D, (Form 5T-R)
5. Revised Section VII E, (Form 4T-2-R)
6. Responses to Pre-Proposal Conference Questions Raised to the Agency
7. Listing of 75 Priority Schools
8. Attendance Sheet
9. Acknowledgement Receipt

**DESIGN AND ENGINEERING SERVICES IN CONNECTION WITH THE SCHOOL SAFETY
ENGINEERING PROJECT – SECOND GROUP, CITYWIDE**

P.I.N. 84107MBTR164

ADDENDUM No. 1
August 22, 2008

- 1) **REFER TO: Request for Proposal, Section V, C. Basis for Contract Award, Page 10,**
DELETE THE PAGE IN ITS ENTIRETY

REPLACE WITH PAGE 10-R
- 2) **REFER TO: Request for Proposal, Section VII, A –Paragraph D, Work Program, Page 3**
DELETE PAGE IN ITS ENTIRETY

REPLACE WITH PAGE 3-R.
- 3) **REFER TO: Request for Proposal, Section VII, D- Proposal Forms Packet,**
Form 5T – NYCDOT Current Workload Disclosure
DELETE PAGE IN ITS ENTIRETY

REPLACE WITH FORM 5T-R.
- 4) **REFER TO: Request for Proposal, Section VII, E, - Cost Proposal Forms Packet,**
Form 4T2 –Cost Proposal Summary
DELETE THE PAGE IN ITS ENTIRETY

REPLACE WITH FORM 4T2-R

C. Basis for Contract Award

A contract will be awarded to the responsible proposer whose proposal is determined to be the most advantageous to the City, taking into consideration the price and such other factors or criteria which are set forth in this RFP. Award of the contract shall be subject to the timely completion of contract negotiations between the Agency and the selected proposers.

D. Work Program

The work program will consist of the six tasks described below: Proposers are requested to provide a detailed technical proposal based on the work plan tasks and deliverables outlined below, for completion within a **four-year** period. However, proposers could suggest alternative approaches that would meet the objectives of the project.

Task 1. Collection of Traffic and Safety Data at Each School

The Consultant will collect and analyze detailed traffic and safety data utilizing standard methodology and procedures as defined by the Institute of Transportation Engineers, New York Metropolitan Transportation Council, DOT and other professional sources for each priority school and each supplemental school. Data needed to support recommendations for new and modified signals, speed reducers and other measures should be collected. Such data should include, but not be limited to:

- School location;
- Inventory and condition of roadway and pedestrian facilities;
- Traffic counts, including turning movements, traffic volumes and pedestrian counts, drawings of physical layout and street furniture at schools;
- Traffic (both vehicular and pedestrian) volumes for critical time periods (e.g., school arrival and dismissal times);
- Sight distance, street lighting, speed limits, road geometry, traffic control devices;
- Origin/destination data to determine the volume of through traffic vs. school-related traffic;
- Vehicle classification, travel speeds and gaps;
- On-street parking regulations, practices and compliance, curbside utilization (school buses, school staff, drop off and pick ups, residents, visitors);
- Pedestrian patterns and characteristics (age, physical size, mental capabilities, arrival and departure times, reaction time, walking speed);
- Accident (collision) history from both NYSDMV (most recent 3-year period) and NYPD (most recent 3-year period);
- Information obtained by consultation with school administration, parents and community representatives;
- Student enrollment and grades, student arrival/dismissal times;
- Modes of transportation for travel to school, including number of students using school buses for drop-off / pick-up;
- Crossing guards assigned to school and whether present at their posts;

The Consultant would reduce and tabulate all data collected using standard spreadsheet software. In addition, the Consultant would prepare revisions to existing DOT sign and markings orders to enable DOT to update its computerized and manual records. In the event that data is collected via video recorders, the original tapes or discs will be the property of DOT.

Within 30 days of commencing the contract, the Consultant would submit to DOT a plan for the collection of data at the priority and supplemental schools for review and approval.

FORM 5T-R NYCDOT CURRENT WORKLOAD DISCLOSURE

The purpose of this form is to provide information concerning the current workload of the firms interested in the project for which the proposal is being submitted. The information provided should be for the office(s) which would perform the work of this contract. The values shown **should not** include fees to be paid to subconsultants and subcontractors or for rentals or purchases of equipment.

PIN: 84107MBTR164 FIRM NAME: _____
 CONTRACT NO.: _____ CONTACT PERSON: _____
 PROJECT NAME: School Safety Project – Second Group PHONE NUMBER _____
 BIN: _____ ADDRESS OF OFFICE(S) TO PERFORM WORK _____
 DATE OF RFP: _____
 IS YOUR FIRM A: M/WBE DBE ? (Circle one)

Name of Personnel (Proposed Office(s)): Administration _____ Total Personnel _____

- I. Remaining NYC-DOT work of proposed office(s) (from back of sheet) with all NYC-DOT \$ _____
- II. Expected billings for next 18 months:
- A. NYC-DOT WORK: total expected billings in next 18 months. \$ _____
 - B. WORK WITH OTHER PUBLIC AGENCIES: total expected in the next 18 months \$ _____
 - C. PRIVATE WORK: total expected billings on projects in next 18 months. \$ _____
- TOTAL (A + B + C) \$ _____

III. Certified M/WBE or DBE firm(s) for Federal Aid Projects or for Non-Federal aid Projects proposed for use on this project:

SUBCONSULTANT FIRM NAME	PROPOSED % OF PROJECT	# OF TECHNICAL PERSONNEL	WORKLOAD
_____	_____ %	_____	\$ _____
_____	_____ %	_____	\$ _____
_____	_____ %	_____	\$ _____

IV. Other firm(s) proposed for use on this project

_____	_____ %	_____	\$ _____
_____	_____ %	_____	\$ _____

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the above figures are actual contract amounts (when available) or my best estimate of expected billings.

DATE

SIGNATURE (OFFICER OR PARTNER)

FORM 4T2-R – COST PROPOSAL SUMMARY

PROJECT NAME: School Safety Project – Second Group, Citywide

PIN NO.: 84107MBTR164

PRIME CONSULTANT: _____

	<u>(COLUMN 1)</u>	<u>(COLUMN 2)</u>	<u>(COLUMN 3)</u>	<u>(COLUMN 4)</u>	<u>(COLUMN 5)</u>
	<u>CONSULTANT</u>	<u>HOURS ALL FIRMS</u>	<u>ESCALATED LABOR COST TO PROJECT MIDPOINT</u>	<u>DIRECT NON-SALARY COST</u>	<u>TOTAL COST</u>
1.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
2.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
3.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
4.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
5.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
6.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
7.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
8.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
9.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
10.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
	TOTALS			\$275,000.00	(T)

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. The costs entered in Column 3 are the totals shown on line (D) of Form 4T-1 "Labor Cost Proposal" for each consultant on the project team.
2. The Total Direct Non-Salary Cost shown in the shaded area below Column 4 is an out of pocket expense budgeted amount allowed to all proposers and must not be changed.
3. The Total Direct Non-Salary Cost provided by each consultant of the project team MUST SUM to the total shown in the shaded area at the bottom of the Column 4.

Questions & Answers:

- Q1. Re: Form 3T Project Understanding and Approach asks:
Describe your overall approach and understanding to providing transportation planning, traffic engineering, traffic calming and transportation modeling services for this project" This description addresses Operational Improvements. This is significantly different from the scope described in the RFP document which includes Capital Improvements. Which is the basis for this proposal?
- A1. It is expected that all schools will get operational measures (i.e. new crosswalks, signals, signs, etc., and most, but not all (approximately 70%), would need capital work.)**
- Q2. Re: Form 2T Proposed Resumes
Resumes of key personnel include:
Transportation Planning
Traffic engineering
Traffic calming
Transportation modeling
Community outreach
Key personnel titles are based on Operational Improvements not capital improvements.
Which is the basis for this proposal?
- A2. It is expected that all schools will get operational measures (i.e. new crosswalks, signals, signs, etc., and most, but not all (approximately 70%), would need capital work.)**
- Q3. Given that the proposal will have to be developed and finalized in the last weeks of August when many people are taking vacation, we request that the proposal due date be extended a minimum of one month.
- A3. The proposal due date has been extended from 8/29/08 to 9/12/08 through this Addendum #1.**
- Q4. We request that a list of the 75 projects and their locations be provided as soon as possible.
- A4. Please see attached Excel chart.**
- Q5. Please provide a copy of the pre-proposal conference attendance list.
- A5. Please see attached.**
- Q6. What was the average and largest sheet count for a capital project in the First Group?
- A6. The sheet count for a capital project in the first group was typically 16-20 pages.**

- Q7. DOT gave the impression that the Capital portion is not as big as it may seem or a least smaller than the operational portion. However, taking the scope description given in the RFP, the capital improvement portion appears to be extensive and exhaustive especially for anyone that has gone through the PDI and design process on capital improvement projects. Since we are expected to assign hours and dollars to this contract, there is an overall consensus of primes and subs, that if taken on face value, we may not be competitive if we consider all the factors listed. On the other hand, we may not be responsive if we make scope assumptions that will lower our cost and are then held to the full Capital program scope. Since we need to be able to assign hours to tasks, is there anyway the RFP can be modified to be more focused and specific with respect to the capital portion of the project?
- A7. The extensiveness of the capital project can not be determined until studies are completed and recommendations have been made. The amount of capital work recommended would depend on the types of measures that are recommended.**
- Q8. Section V.C, pg 10 – Please explain basis for 2 contracts?
- A8. A single contract will be awarded through this RFP. Please see revised page 10-R (attached).**
- Q9. Can we assume that NYCDOT will approve Tasks I-IV and NYCDOT and NYCDDC will approve Tasks V and VI?
- A9. Yes.**
- Q10. Pg 8 - Please reiterate basis for Work Program Tasks 5 and 6, specifically draft contract plans and need for a project report after contract documents have been prepared. Why are schematic plans parts of Final Documents? Are the "draft" constructions to be signed and sealed?
- A10. The final report could summarize lessons learned and include the total quantities of types of measures recommended at all schools.**
- Q11. Based on Scope of Work, approximately 56 schools will require final design services. How is it possible to estimate level of engineering effort unless typical job is determined? How many contract drawings were in Group 1 packages or typical list of drawings? How many bid packages should be assumed? How arranged? By borough or type of work? Will all construction work be programmed for summers only?
- A11. The amount of capital work that will be needed is estimated to be less than with Group 1, based upon our newly expanded tool box of operational (non-capital) measures available. The consultant should aim to keep the number and types of capital work with in these estimated limits. Construction of recommended capital work is not limited to only summers.**
- Q12. Is the PDI for Task 4 an abbreviated one or will it follow the strict format of NYCDDC?
- A12. Abbreviated**
- Q13. Confirm typical 700 ft. radius limit of study work.
- A13. 700 feet will be the typical limits of the study. In cases where an intersection may fall on the "edge" of 700 feet, the limits may be expanded to include any intersections that fall on the border or just outside of 700 feet.**

- Q14. Agreement denotes a 5-yr term, Pg 3 of Section VIID denotes 1461ccd /365=4 yrs?
Please clarify.
- A14. The duration of this contract is 1461 CCD (4 years). Please see revised Section VII A, page 3-R (attached).**
- Q15. Confirm that pavement and signage operational improvements will not be bid documents and work will be performed by others.
- A15. Yes. DOT will do this.**
- Q16. Define anticipated need for water or sewer construction in a typical school safety Project.
- A16. Water or sewer construction isn't usually included in these. Some catch basin chute relocation and addition may be needed at some locations depending on the types and locations of capital measures.**
- Q17. Is sample PIR/EAS available for review of prior to submission of proposal?
- A17. Not available.**
- Q18. Explain anticipated effort required for updating of DOT sign orders and marking plans.
- A18. The consultant would use our hard copies of M-orders and mark them in red, so we could update our data base both signs and marking orders.**
- Q19. Define typical permits that are anticipated. Typically Contractor will obtain any and all permits for street work.
- A19. Anyone that works on the city streets or sidewalks, in terms of construction activity, is required to obtain the required permits. If this is a Capital governmental project, the contractor who is eventually selected to do the work must provide a copy of the Notice to Proceed Letter, must have all the necessary insurances (Bonds, General Liability, etc.) and be registered with the Permit Office (have a Permit tee number) before a permit can be issued. See the DOT website for additional information, which includes our Highway Rules and information related to insurance, bonds and registration.**
- Once plans showing the scope of work have been developed by the consultant, they should be submitted to the Office of Construction Mitigation and Coordination (OCMC) at 40 Worth Street, 9th Floor, Rm. 908, Attention: Joe Noto, for review and issuance of the appropriate traffic stipulations. This process typically requires a six-week review period in which the traffic stipulations are developed to be included in the bid documents. Once the contract has been awarded, the approved traffic stipulations should be included with the permit application in order to obtain the appropriate permits to perform the work. (NOTE: All information in the first paragraph must be in order)**
- Q20. Clarify if there are any bid analysis services?
- A20. The analysis will be done in house.**
- Q21. How many NYCDOT progress meetings are anticipated for the project term?
- A21. At a minimum, monthly progress meetings; at times weekly or bi-weekly meetings may be needed depending on work progress.**

- Q22. Section VII, Page 6 describes the need for a Project Initiation Report in accordance with current NYSDOT and FHWA guidelines, NYSDOT procedures for Locally Administered Federal Aid projects and TEA-21 Guidelines and Application. It also says the Consultant shall prepare an EAS that justifies all substandard features and is in compliance with SEQRA and CEQR. Does this mean that a Project Initiation and EAS must be prepared for each of the schools scheduled for final design? Would a PDI report still be required in that case, or would the Project Initiation Report be in lieu of the PDI report?
- A22. At schools selected by DOT for capital work, a PDI will be required, but no Project Initiation Report will be required.**
- Q23. The Consultant would prepare revisions to existing DOT signs and markings orders to enable DOT to update its computerized and manual records can you provide further clarification on what will be required under this task?
- A23. Yes the consultant would use our hard copies of M-orders and mark them in red, so we could update our data base both signs and marking orders.**
- Q24. To what extent will LOS analysis (using Highway Capacity Software) be required at each school?
- A24. 10% of schools might require LOS analysis. However, this would depend on the types of recommendations made by the consultant.**
- Q25. What M/WBE certifications are firms required to have (State, City, etc) to be considered a M/WBE for this project?
- A25. Refer to Section III D of the RFP.**
- Q26. Will any portion of the funds for design or construction come from federal funds?
- A26. Possibly, but not known at this time.**
- Q27. Can you give us more information about the format for the report described in Task 6 of the RFP?
- A27. The report in Task 6 is a final project report. This could be a short summary of project outcomes and/lessons learned. It could also include a quantitative summary of the number of all measures recommended, listed by borough.**
- Q28. For the estimated 30% of schools that will not have capital recommendations, will those schools need the report described in Task 6 of the RFP?
- A28. Yes. For example the final report might include a summary of the total number of signs, number of crosswalks, number of signals, number of neckdowns, number of bike lanes, etc. that were recommended in each borough.**
- Q29. We anticipate a meeting at every school at the onset of every study and another meeting once the recommendations are prepared (Task 3). Should we anticipate any meetings with the schools during design?
- A29. No.**

- Q30. Please confirm that a budgetary amount will be established by DOT to cover topographic surveying services. Please verify that it is not necessary for the prime consultant to provide the name of a topographic surveying firm as part of the proposal?
- A30. The consultant should establish a budgetary amount in their price proposal to cover topographic surveying services. The name of the surveying firm does not have to be included at this time, but must be submitted for DOT approval or rejection prior to the time the PDI will be prepared.**
- Q31. Please confirm that a budgetary amount will be established by DOT to cover traffic data collection, and verify that it is not necessary for the prime consultant to provide the name of a traffic data collection firm as part of the proposal?
- A31. The consultant should establish a budgetary amount to cover the costs of traffic data collection. The name of the traffic data collection firm does not have to be included at this time, but must be submitted for DOT approval or rejection prior to the time the PDI will be prepared.**
- Q32. Please confirm that Form 5T (Workload Disclosure) is required for this proposal?
- A32. Yes, please see revised Form 5T-R (attached.**
- Q33. Can accident history be collected from a single source, New York State Department of Transportation instead of NYSDMV and NYCDOT?
- A33. Yes, you can collect accident history from NYS DOT.**

Listing of 75 Priority Schools to be studied under RFP (Aug 2008)

Note: Up to 5 additional supplemental schools to be provided by DOT.

Borough Rank	Borough	School Type	School Name / ID	Address
1	BX	Public	GREAT EXPECTATIONS SCHOOL	2400 Marion Avenue
2	BX	Public	FAMILY LIFE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL	14 West 170th Street
3	BX	Public	GRAND CONCOURSE ADADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL	116 East 169th Street
4	BX	Public	P.S. 163 ARTHUR ALONSO SCHOMBERG	2075 Webster Avenue
5	BX	Public	SCIENCE SCHOOL FOR EXPLORATION & DISCOVERY	124 Eames Place
6	BX	Public	P.S. 315 LAB SCHOOL	2246 Jerome Avenue
7	BX	Public	P.S. 170	1598 Townsend Avenue
8	BX	Public	P.S./I.S. 218 R. HERNANDEZ DUAL LANGUAGE MAGNET	1220 Gerard Avenue
9	BX	Private	SAINT NICHOLAS OF TOLENTINE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	2336 Andrews Avenue
10	BX	Public	P.S. 157 GROVE HILL	757 Caudwell Avenue
11	BX	Private	SAINT MARTIN OF TOURS SCHOOL	695 East 182 Street
12	BX	Private	OUR LADY OF REFUGE SCHOOL	2708 Briggs Avenue
13	BX	Public	P.S. 88 S. SILVERSTEIN LITTLE SPARROW SCHOOL	1340 Sheridan Avenue
14	BX	Public	P.S. 340	25 West 195 Street
15	BK	Private	SAINT STEPHEN'S LUTHERAN PAROCHIAL SCHOOL	2806 Newkirk Avenue
16	BK	Public	P.S. 134	4001 18 Avenue
17	BK	Public	P.S. 361	3109 Newkirk Avenue
18	BK	Public	P.S. 226 ALFRED DE BARON MASON SCHOOL	6006 23rd Avenue
19	BK	Public	ACADEMY OF HOSPITALITY AND TOURISM	911 Flatbush Avenue
20	BK	Public	P.S. 208 ELSA EBELING SCHOOL	4801 Avenue D
21	BK	Public	P.S. 207 ECC (ANNEX)	3920 Flatlands Avenue
22	BK	Public	P.S. 268 EMMA LAZARUS SCHOOL	133 East 53rd Street
23	BK	Public	CHARLES O. DEWEY	4004 4th Avenue
24	BK	Public	P.S. 135 SHELDON BROOKNER SCHOOL	684 Linden Boulevard
25	BK	Public	P.S. 380 JOHN WAYNE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	370 Marcy Avenue
26	BK	Private	SAINT CATHERINE OF GENOA SCHOOL	870 Albany Avenue
27	BK	Public	P.S. 189 LINCOLN TERRACE SCHOOL	1100 East NEW YORK Avenue
28	BK	Private	DAVID GRAYSON CHRISTIAN ACADEMY	1237 Eastern Parkway

29	15	BK	Private	BETH CHANA SCHOOL & ANNEX	712 Bedford Avenue 3002 Fort Hamilton Brooklyn, Pennsylvania Avenue
30	16	BK	Private	IMMACULATE HEART OF MARY SCHOOL	
31	17	BK	Public	P.S. 13 ROBERTO CLEMENTE SCHOOL	
32	18	BK	Public	I.S. 232 WINTHROP INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL	905 Winthrop Street
33	19	BK	Public	J.H.S. 258 DAVID RUGGLES JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL	141 Macon Street
34	20	BK	Public	P.S. 753	510 Clermont Avenue
35	21	BK	Public	P.S. 398 WALTER WEAVER SCHOOL	60 East 94th Street
36	22	BK	Private	OUR LADY OF ANGELS SCHOOL	337-347 74th Street
37	23	BK	Public	P.S. 221 TOSSAINT L'OUVERTURE	791 Empire Boulevard
38	24	BK	Private	BNOS YERUSHALAYIM	600 McDonald Avenue
39	25	BK	Private	EPIPHANY LUTHERAN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	721 Lincoln Place
40	1	MN	Private	ST JOSEPH'S SCHOOL(YORKVILLE)	420 East 87th Street
41	2	MN	Private	PARK AVENUE CHRISTIAN CHURCH DAY	1010 Park Avenue
42	3	MN	Public	THE LITTLE RED SCHOOL HOUSE	196 Bleecker Street
43	4	MN	Public	THE CALHOUN SCHOOL	433 West End Avenue
44	5	MN	Public	P.S. 75 EMILY DICKINSON SCHOOL	735 West End Avenue
45	6	MN	Private	COMMUNITY HEALTH ACAD OF THE HEIGHTS	511 West 182nd Street
46	7	MN	Private	RAMAZ LOWER SCHOOL	125 East 85th Street
47	8	MN	Public	P.S. 47 AMERICAN SIGN LANGUAGE SCHOOL	225 East 23rd Street
48	9	MN	Private	BLESSED SACRAMENT SCHOOL	147 West 70th Street
49	10	MN	Public	P.S. 83 LUJIS MUNOZ RIVERA SCHOOL	219 East 109th Street
50	11	MN	Public	P.S. 4 DUKE ELLINGTON SCHOOL	500 West 160th Street
51	12	MN	Public	P.S. 154	250 West 127th Street
52	13	MN	Private	FRIENDS SEMINARY	222 East 16th Street
53	1	QN	Public	P.S. 92 HARRY T. STEWART SCHOOL	99-01 34th Avenue
54	2	QN	Private	ALLEN CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	171-10 Linden Boulevard
55	3	QN	Private	RAZI SCHOOL	55-11 Queens Boulevard
56	4	QN	Public	P.S. 239	1715 Weirfield Street
57	5	QN	Public	P.S. 95 EASTWOOD SCHOOL	179-01 90th Avenue
58	6	QN	Public	MERRICK ACADEMY-QUEENS PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL	207-01 Jamaica Avenue
59	7	QN	Public	P.S. 16	41-15 104th Street
60	8	QN	Public	P.S. 62 CHESTER PARK SCHOOL	97-25 108th Street

61	9	QN	Public	P.S. 20 JOHN BOWNE SCHOOL	142-30 Barclay Avenue
62	10	QN	Private	SAINT BARTHOLOMEW SCHOOL	44-15 Judge Street
63	11	QN	Public	P.S. 13	86-37 53rd Avenue
64	12	QN	Public	P.S. 169 BAY TERRACE SCHOOL	18-25 212nd Street
65	13	QN	Private	SAINT GERARD MAJELLA SCHOOL	188-16 91st Avenue
66	14	QN	Public	P.S. 35 NATHANIEL WOODHULL SCHOOL	191-02 90th Avenue
67	15	QN	Public	P.S. 118 LORRAINE HANSBERRY SCHOOL	190-20 109th Rd
68	16	QN	Private	SAINT ANTHONY OF PADUA SCHOOL	125-18 Rockaway Bouleve
69	17	QN	Public	P.S. 97 FOREST PARK SCHOOL	85-52 85th Street
70	18	QN	Public	P.S. 88 SENECA SCHOOL	60-85 Catalpa Avenue
71	1	SI	Private	SAINT JOSEPH PAROCHIAL SCHOOL	139 Saint Marys Avenue
72	2	SI	Private	OUR LADY QUEEN OF PEACE SCHOOL	22 Steele Avenue
73	3	SI	Public	P.S. 20 PORT RICHMOND SCHOOL	161 Park Avenue
74	4	SI	Private	SAINT ADALBERT SCHOOL	355 Morningstar Road
75	5	SI	Public	P.S. 41 THE NEW DORP SCHOOL	216 Clawson Street
76	n/a	tbd	tbd	supplemental school 1 (TBD)	tbd
77	n/a	tbd	tbd	supplemental school 2 (TBD)	tbd
78	n/a	tbd	tbd	supplemental school 3 (TBD)	tbd
79	n/a	tbd	tbd	supplemental school 4 (TBD)	tbd
80	n/a	tbd	tbd	supplemental school 5 (TBD)	tbd

Borough	of Program Schox of Total Program Scho	# of schools to be studied by this RFP (% of 75)
Bronx	266	14
Brooklyn	485	25
Manhattan	251	13
Queens	349	18
Staten Island	85	5
NYC Total	1,436	75

18.5%
33.8%
17.5%
24.3%
5.9%
100.00%



**New York City
Department of Transportation**

Janette Sadik-Khan, Commissioner

ATTENDANCE SHEET
PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE

Date: August 13, 2008

TIME: 10:00 AM LOCATION: Room #814, 40 Worth Street, New York, NY 10013

CONTRACT DESCRIPTION : Design and Engineering Services for The School Safety Project

Pin #: 84107MBTR164

#	NAME	AFFILIATION	PHONE	FAX#	E-MAIL
1.	JAMES QUINN	Weidinger	2123672853	2124972353	QUINN@WAH.COM
2.	RAMINDER BOLA	Weidinger	212367-2820	"	BOLA@WAH.COM
3.	JERRY GLUCK	URSITRAN/SHUKHERRIS	212763-4522	212366-6214	jerome.gluck@dnykants.com
4.	MELISSA BUSSOCK	Howard/Stein-Hudson	917-339-0488	917-339-1008	mbussock@hshassoc.com
5.	Steve Pavich	URS Corp	2129469694	2126294249	Steve-Pavich@URSCorp.com
6.	PAUL AVIZY	" "	2129460362	2126294249	PAUL-AVIZY@URSCorp.com
7.	BEN GOLSHAHN	BTHAYER ASSOC.	(516) 364-0666	0668	BGolshahn@BTHAYERASSOCIATES.COM



**New York City
Department of Transportation**
Janette Sadiq-Khan, Commissioner

ATTENDANCE SHEET
PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE

Date: August 13, 2008 TIME: 10:00 AM LOCATION: Room #814, 40 Worth Street, New York, NY 10013

CONTRACT DESCRIPTION : Design and Engineering Services for The School Safety Project

Pin #: 84107MBTR164

#	NAME	AFFILIATION	PHONE	FAX#	E-MAIL
1.	<u>DELEON BENT</u>	<u>TECTONIC</u>	<u>718 3919280</u>	<u>718 391-0607</u>	<u>DBENT@TECTONICENGINEERING.COM</u>
2.	<u>Janet Jenkins</u>	<u>Eng-Wing Taub</u>	<u>212-695-588</u>	<u>212 695 9861</u>	<u>Jjenkins@eng-wongtaub.com</u>
3.	<u>SARWAT IZHAR</u>	<u>SI ENGINEERING, P.C</u>	<u>212-354-5939</u>	<u>212-354-8521</u>	<u>sizhar@siengineering.com</u>
4.	<u>Firuzi Mehta</u>	<u>Sen Construction</u>	<u>516-248-9501</u>	<u>516-248-9502</u>	<u>firuzi@Sen-group.com</u>
5.	<u>JERMAINE PERKINS</u>	<u>SI ENGINEERING</u>	<u>212-354-5939</u>	<u>212-354-8521</u>	<u>jperry@siengineering.com</u>
6.	<u>LINDA REARDON</u>	<u>THE RISA GROUP</u>	<u>212 741 8090</u>	<u>212 633 1205</u>	<u>Lreardon@risagroup.com</u>
7.	<u>SUSAN PEROTTO</u>	<u>RBS GROUP</u>	<u>212-74-8090</u>	<u>212 633 1205</u>	<u>Sperotto@RBSGROUP.COM</u>



**New York City
Department of Transportation**
Janette Sadik-Khan, Commissioner

ATTENDANCE SHEET
PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE

Date: August 13, 2008 TIME: 10:00 AM LOCATION: Room #814, 40 Worth Street, New York, NY 10013

CONTRACT DESCRIPTION : Design and Engineering Services for The School Safety Project

Pin #: 84107MBTR164

#	NAME	AFFILIATION	PHONE	FAX#	E-MAIL
1.	Don Plotter	Sam Schwartz Eng	212.592.9010	212.592.9148	dplotter@samswartzeng.com
2.	Don Tone	HDR	914.993.2025	-	donald.tone@hdrinc.com
3.	Kim Toscano	ToscanoClements Taylor, Cost Estimators, WBE	631-392-1400	631-392-1399	KTOSCANO@TTCOST.COM
4.	Michael MonKleone	Louis Berger	212-612-7916	data-	mmonkleone@louisberger.com
5.					
6.					
7.					



New York City
Department of Transportation
Janette Sadik-Khan, Commissioner

ATTENDANCE SHEET
PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE

Date: August 13, 2008 TIME: 10:00 AM LOCATION: Room #814, 40 Worth Street, New York, NY 10013

CONTRACT DESCRIPTION : Design and Engineering Services for The School Safety Project

Pin #: 84107MBTR164

#	NAME	AFFILIATION	PHONE	FAX#	E-MAIL
1.	<u>Amy Pfeiffer</u>	<u>Nelson Nygaard</u>	<u>212-242-2490</u>		<u>apfeiffer@nelsonnygaard.com</u>
2.	<u>Sue Baynt</u>	<u>EnTech</u>	<u>6467880000</u>		<u>sbaynt@entech-pc.com</u>
3.					
4.					
5.					
6.					
7.					

**THE CITY OF NEW YORK
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
DIVISION OF TRAFFIC**

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

FOR

**DESIGN AND ENGINEERING SERVICES IN CONNECTION WITH THE SCHOOL SAFETY
ENGINEERING PROJECT – SECOND GROUP, CITYWIDE**

P.I.N. 84107MBTR164

TOGETHER WITH ALL WORK INCIDENTAL THERETO

**I, _____
(NAME AND TITLE)**

**A duly authorized representative of
(NAME OF PROPOSERS)**

**Acknowledge receipt of Addendum No. 1 dated August 22, 2008 for the Pin No.
84107MBTR164 for which Technical Proposals will be received by 2:00 PM on September 12,
2008.**